



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/663,753 09/17/2003 | | Keiichi Keyaki | 05711.0157 | 3823 | |
| 22852 | 7590 10/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FINNEGAN | I, HENDERSON, FAF | LAVINDER, JACK W | | | |
| LLP 901 NEW YO | ORK AVENUE, NW | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | ON, DC 20001-4413 | 3677 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/663,753 | KEYAKI ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | Jack W. Lavinder | 3677 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD REPORTS IN LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS OF THE MINIORS OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this composition of the properties of the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. statutory period will y will, by statute, o | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION S(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. tely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on 29 Jul | <u>y 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| | , , | | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation | • | | u in this National | Stage | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action | | | d | | | | | |
| | the allaction detailed office action | | · | u . | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P | |)-152) | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of r No(s)/Mail Date | 1 710/35/08) | 6) Other: | atom reprioudent (r 10 | | | | | |

a

Application/Control Number: 10/663,753

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 3 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Re claim 3, it is not clear what the applicant is claiming. The shoulder opening side of the flange is the side that faces the front of the slider, i.e., 43 is the shoulder opening. It is not clear how the shoulder opening side of the flange is disposed at a right angle or tilted toward a rear mouth side. The rear mouth side is shown by reference numeral 44. How is the shoulder opening side of the flange (15) tilted toward the rear mouth side?

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 4, it is not clear how the downgrade slope of the flange (flange portion of 22) is formed from a portion in which 'a mounting shaft of a pull is supported pivotally by a guidepost as a starting point.' The mounting shaft of a pull and the guidepost are not even connected to the downgrade slope of the flange. Please clarify.

Re claim 5, it is not clear how the downgrade slope disposed on the flange and the sidewall form a linear longitudinal sectional shaped sidewall.

Re claim 6, it is not clear how the downgrade slope disposed on the flange and the sidewall form a curved longitudinal sectional shaped sidewall.

For examination purposes, claim 5 is being interpreted to mean that the sidewall is linear, and for claim 6, the sidewall is curved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perina, 3696472.

Perina discloses a slider (40) comprising

- a bottom plate (43, figures 1 and 3)
- a pair of curved sidewalls (42) erected on both sides of the bottom plate
- a flange on the top end of each sidewall extending inwardly (21, 22, figure

Application/Control Number: 10/663,753 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

 a downgrade slope continuously inclined downward from the flange to the bottom plate, i.e., the left side end of slider shows a slanted front end wall that forms the end surface of the sidewalls 40 and the flanges 21 and 22

The reference in the claims to a bottom and a top are relative terms. Figure 3 shows the slider in the claimed bottom and top orientation and figure 1 shows the slider in the opposite bottom and top orientation. The point is that the slider can be turned in a variety of orientations until it meets the correct bottom and top orientation defined by the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 5 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perina, 3696472 in view of Yoshida, 3854175.

The courts have noted that it would be an obvious design choice to change the shape of an object, i.e., from a curved sidewall to a linear sidewall. Perina discloses a curved sidewall (42).

Yoshida discloses a linear shaped sidewall (12, figure 5) on a slider. It would have been an obvious design choice to make Perina's sidewall into a linear shape to increase the speed at which the tapes come together, i.e., a linear shaped sidewall

Application/Control Number: 10/663,753

Art Unit: 3677

would provide a more direct route from the receiving opening of the slider to the closing end of the slider.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Lavinder whose telephone number is 571-272-7119. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 9-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,753

Art Unit: 3677

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

10/7/05